Consistent parenting in the times of electronic media as a predictor of adolescent health and good relations in the family
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Abstract

Aim: The aim of the paper was to investigate the relationships between introducing family rules for phone and computer use, compliance with these rules and the frequency and methods of electronic media use, health, life satisfaction and relationships of adolescents with their parents.

Materials and methods: An anonymous survey was conducted in Poland among 844 students aged 10–18 years (55.3% boys; 28.0% were primary school students, 42.3% were lower secondary school students, 29.7% were upper secondary school students; 45.3% were residents of big cities, with populations of over 100,000, 23.1% were residents of small towns and 31.6% were rural residents). The questionnaire included questions and scales relating to electronic media use (different activities and rules for the use of electronic media), health (self-rated health, subjective health complaints, life satisfaction) and family relations (clear communication, social support and shared activities).

Results: A total of 97.7% of adolescents have access to a computer at home (no difference in terms of gender, age and place of residence), while 80.7% of adolescents have a computer or a laptop for their own exclusive use (the percentage grows with the level of education, from 77.4% to 87.6%); 87.4% of respondents own a device with Internet access, 97.1% have a mobile phone (girls slightly more often than boys: 98.6% vs. 95.8%). Adolescents who fail to comply with family rules on the use of electronic media dedicate the most amount of time to use these media for entertainment and face the most serious health and social consequences. Introduction of time restrictions by parents reduces the time devoted by adolescents to electronic media, but only the time spent on entertainment.

Conclusions: Introduction and consistent compliance with the rules for using electronic media are important for good family relationships and are associated with clear communication, support, and spending time together.
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INTRODUCTION

Electronic media have become an integral part of 21st century adolescent life. More than 90% of adolescents use computers and the Internet, and have their own accounts on social networking websites (Eurostat, 2015). Also, the number of adolescents who own mobile phones has definitely been on the rise, as has the frequency of their use of these devices (Lenhart, 2012). Only a third of adolescents in Europe comply with experts’ recommendations regarding the time spent in front of a TV or computer screen, which is a maximum of two hours per day (Boniel-Nissim et al., 2015). According to US studies, an average adolescent devotes 7.5 hours per day to electronic media (Rideout et al., 2010).

A large body of research suggests that adolescent activity on Internet forums increases the risk of cyberbullying and behavioural addictions (Wolak et al., 2007). There is a negative association between computer use and family relationships (Rideout et al., 2010). Correlations have also been found with family conflicts, poor communication and bonding, higher frequency of making friends online and pathological Internet use (Lei and Wu, 2007; Wolak et al., 2003). At the same time, watching TV and playing computer games have been found to have a positive impact on visual and spatial abilities as well as problem solving skills and school achievements (Schmidt and Vandewater, 2008). Electronic media may also enhance communication among peers, though at the expense of contacts with parents, whose knowledge of their children’s activities is becoming increasingly limited, especially in terms of their online activity. There is a positive correlation between risks and opportunities connected with electronic media use, implying that efforts to enhance these opportunities may involve an increased risk, and that efforts to minimise the risk may inadvertently decrease children’s opportunities to benefit from Internet use (Livingstone et al., 2015).

Adolescent health

Adolescence is regarded as one of the healthiest periods in human life. According to the latest international studies, Health Behaviour in School-aged Children (HBSC), 91% of 11-year-olds, 86% of 13-year-olds and 83% of 15-year-olds rate their health as good or very good (Ottova-Jordan et al., 2016). Cavallo et al. (2015) tracked the trends in self-assessment of health among teenagers from 32 countries in Europe and North America in 2002–2010 and found that, while at the beginning of this decade in most countries subjective health indicators were improving, in 2006–2010 this positive trend had fallen or even reversed. This can be attributed to the general economic crisis and increasing difficulties in accomplishing the developmental tasks of adolescence and the transition to adulthood (Mazur, 2015). In 2014, subjective health indicators began to slightly improve (Ottova-Jordan et al., 2016).

Many authors point to the fact that a significant number of adolescents experience psychosomatic disorders (such as headaches, stomach aches, depressed mood and irritation) related primarily to stress and difficulties in coping with developmental tasks (Brolin Låftman and Modin, 2012; Tabak and Mazur, 2016). The prevalence of multiple health complaints increases with age and is higher among girls than boys (Ottova-Jordan et al., 2016). In Poland, 38.2% of adolescents experience two or more subjective health complaints at least once a week, and the rates increase with growing poverty and the disintegration of the family structure (Mazur, 2015). These complaints should not be ignored as their frequent occurrence might be a predictor of worse health in adulthood (Hofstra et al., 2001).

The health of adolescents is strongly affected by behavioural and social factors. Crucial behaviours protecting adolescents’ health include proper diet and physical activity. The most common harmful behaviours include the use of psychoactive substances, sexual activity, violence and sedentary behaviours, with an emphasis on the use of electronic media (Inchley et al., 2016). Analyses of the health consequences of media use indicate that the time spent in front of a computer or TV is associated with a greater risk of overweight and obesity, socio-emotional problems, poorer general and oral health status (Russ et al., 2009), increased violence, anxiety, depression, irregular sleep, attention deficits, earlier sexual activity (Kappos, 2007; Strasburger et al., 2010), tiredness, subjective insomnia (Cain and Gradisar, 2010), psychological distress, depression and poorer health-related quality of life (Mathers et al., 2009).

The strongest social determinants of adolescent health are distal factors (national wealth, income inequality, access to education), and proximal factors (safe and supportive families and schools, positive and supportive peers) (Viner et al., 2012). They enable young people to develop to their full potential and attain the best health in the transition to adulthood. Family is a source of meaningful relationships, support, values, role models and...
health practices (Christensen, 2004; Wille et al., 2008). An analysis of adolescent health simultaneously in behavioural and social contexts (e.g. parenting and using electronic media) is necessary for planning effective health promotion programmes.

**Parenting styles**

According to Baumrind’s (1971, 1991) taxonomy of parenting styles (authoritarian, authoritative, permissive and neglectful), parenting types differ on the bases of commitment and balance of demandingness and responsiveness. Authoritative parents who are highly demanding and highly responsive are remarkably successful in protecting their adolescents from psychological and behavioural dysfunction and in generating competence (Baumrind, 1991; Steinberg et al., 2006, 1994). In addition to the differences in responsiveness and demandingness, the parenting styles also differ in the extent to which they are characterised by psychological control (Darling, 1999). Both authoritarian and authoritative parents place high demands on their children and expect them to behave appropriately and to obey parental rules. Authoritarian parents, however, also expect their children to accept their judgments, values and goals without questioning. In contrast, authoritative parents are more open to give and take with their children and make greater use of explanations. The authoritative parenting style fits most closely within the consistent parenting approach. Baumrind (2012) argues that children's well-being is impacted by how their parents assert power to control their behaviour and whether they do so routinely. The kind of power that characterises authoritarian parents is coercive (arbitrary, domineering, concerned with marking status distinctions), whereas the kind of power that characterises authoritative parents is confrontive (reasoned, negotiable, outcome-oriented, concerned with regulating behaviours). The effects of power assertion are detrimental only when coercive, so the common presumption that power-assertive disciplinary practices per se are harmful is unjustified (Baumrind, 2012).

A review of studies published between 1996–2007 that address specific relationships between parenting styles and adolescent risk behaviours supports the substantial influence of parenting style on adolescent development (Newman et al., 2008). Adolescents raised in authoritative households consistently demonstrate higher protective and fewer risk behaviours than adolescents from non-authoritative families. Parenting styles and behaviours related to warmth, communication and disciplinary practices predict important mediators, including academic achievements and psychosocial adjustment. According to Strasburger et al. (2014), what parents do around children’s use of electronic media is likely to be influenced by their general approach to parenting and their beliefs about how best to raise children.

**Families and electronic media**

Recent social and economic transformation has influenced the image of a contemporary family. Rapid economic development and improving economic situation of many households have resulted in a greater availability of a broad spectrum of consumer services and goods, even in times of economic crisis (Eurofound, 2014). Owning at least one TV set with at least a dozen TV channels, along with a computer connected to the Internet, has become a standard for a European family (Eurostat, 2015). So has the use of mobile phones by all family members in most households. Watching cartoons, playing computer games and video games have become children’s favourite activities (Rideout and Hamel, 2006).

An important factor influencing the time spent by children in front of the TV/DVD or computer is the attitude of their parents towards TV, gaming or the Internet. The majority of parents are not apprehensive about TV – they consider watching TV to be a form of education (De Decker et al., 2012). They cite education as one of the benefits of watching TV programmes, and see no need to introduce rules for the use of electronic media. Parental TV habits influence their positive attitude towards their children’s use of TV without any rules being set. A qualitative analysis conducted by Shin (2015) showed that parents of children aged 7–12 years also presumed that the influence of the Internet on their children was more positive than negative and that they felt confident about their ability to manage their children's Internet use. This high parental confidence in their own management, however, seemed to lead parents to be less engaged in purposeful and communication-based parental mediation (Alqahtani et al., 2017).

Studies on the time spent by children watching TV and playing games show that the qualities of the physical environment, i.e., a smaller number of TV sets in the household and their absence in children’s bedrooms, were helpful in reducing the time spent by them watching TV and playing games (Veldhuis et al., 2014). The authors emphasised that reducing screen time poses a great challenge for parents. Social changes promote the use of TV and games. Broadcasters offer a wide range of TV channels dedicated to children, game manufacturers increase the number of games available to children, and there also has been a great rise in the use of electronic media in children's education. For these reasons, parents may experience difficulties in limiting their children's screen time.

**Reducing adolescent screen time**

Studies on the factors protecting adolescents from excessive TV watching and video game playing showed that changes in four family-related factors (modelling parental attitudes, surveillance, rigour and the accessibility of...
become resilient, and they are also more likely to adopt passive responses to online risks. Active parental mediation (actively talking to or sitting with children or sharing online activities) is associated with lower online risk of harm as well as children enjoying more online opportunities and gaining more digital skills (Livingstone et al., 2015).

**Present study**

Previous research demonstrated that parental communication of the rules on the use of electronic media plays an important role in reducing time spent by adolescents in front of electronic devices (Livingstone et al., 2015; Rideout et al., 2010, Van Lippevelde et al., 2014). Our study is the first attempt to identify the key element responsible for the effectiveness of these rules – introduction of or consistent compliance with the rules.

This article is an attempt to answer the questions on whether it is introducing or complying with family rules for phone and computer use that is a factor protecting adolescents against the negative health and social consequences of electronic media use. The aim of the paper was to investigate the correlations between introducing family rules for phone and computer use, complying with these rules, and the frequency and methods of electronic media use, health, life satisfaction and relationships of adolescents with their parents.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample and procedure**

An anonymous survey was conducted in Poland in 15 schools located in cities with various population sizes, 5 schools at each stage of education (primary, lower secondary, upper secondary). The survey included 844 students aged 10–18 years ($M = 14.2$; standard deviation, $SD = 2.0$), including 55.3% of boys; primary school students accounted for 28.0% ($M = 11.8$; $SD = 0.9$), lower secondary school students accounted for 42.3% ($M = 14.1$; $SD = 0.9$), upper secondary school students for 29.7% ($M = 16.6$; $SD = 0.6$); 45.3% were residents of big cities (with populations of over 100,000), 23.1% were residents of small towns and 31.6% were rural residents. The surveys were approved by the local Bioethics Committee at the Institute of Mother and Child as well as informed consent of the school principals and participants’ parents was obtained.

**Questionnaire**

The questionnaire included questions and scales relating to the following:

- Sociodemographic variables: gender, age, level of education, place of residence, perceived family wealth (How well
Do you have at home any rules regarding using a...

Fig. 1. Introduction of and compliance with the rules on electronic media use by gender and level of education

Electronic media use: ownership of devices and access to the Internet, frequency of using electronic media for different activities (e.g. watching films, social contacts, listening to music, playing games) with answers ranging from never to everyday; the rules for electronic media use (At home, do you have any rules regarding the time, place and circumstances of using a mobile phone or a computer?), with answers categories: yes, and they are followed; yes, but they are not followed; not.

Health: Self-rated health (from poor to excellent), subjective health complaints [HBSC Symptom Checklist (HBSC-SCL): headache, stomachache, backache, depressed mood, irritability or bad temper, feeling nervousness, sleeping problems, feeling dizzy], life satisfaction (Cantril ladder) – all health indicators derived from HBSC study questionnaire (Currie et al., 2014).

Family relations: clear communication with parents [short communication scale from Family Dynamics Measure II (FDM II)], social support [family scale from Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS)], shared activities in the family (Family life & enjoyment of family activities scale) – all family indicators derived from HBSC study questionnaire (Currie et al., 2014).

All the scales were converted to a 0–100 scale: the higher the score, the better the health and the family relations.

Statistical analyses

The statistical analysis of the data was conducted using SPSS v. 22. The chi-squared test ($\chi^2$) and Student's $t$-test were used for the evaluation of the differences associated with gender; the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for comparisons of means of health and family relationship indicators between the three education level groups; and the Kruskal–Wallis tests was used for comparisons between family affluence and the introduction of rules on the use of electronic media. The use of the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test was due to the unequal sample sizes. Multivariate logistic regression models (with gender, level of education and family wealth as controlled variables) were estimated for the assessment of the probability of adolescents’ good health, life satisfaction and good family relationships depending on the consistent following of the rules for media use.

RESULTS

Electronic media use

A total of 97.7% of adolescents have access to a computer at home (no difference in terms of gender, age and place of residence), while 80.7% of adolescents have a computer or a laptop for their own exclusive use [the percentage grows with the level of education, from 77.4% to 87.6%;
### Tab. 1. Electronic media activities, health and family relationships by gender, level of education and family wealth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Total (N = 844)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Level of education</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Perceived family wealth</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Boys (n = 466)</td>
<td>Girls (n = 376)</td>
<td>Primary school (n = 236)</td>
<td>Lower secondary (n = 357)</td>
<td>Upper secondary (n = 251)</td>
<td>Well off (n = 278)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keeping social contacts</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>72.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing social contacts</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>36.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening music</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>73.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online gaming</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-player gaming</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching films</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching news</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching information about hobbies</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Health [M (SD)]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>M (SD)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-rated health</td>
<td>79.73 (15.9)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of subjective health claims</td>
<td>77.17 (19.9)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life satisfaction</td>
<td>75.49 (19.8)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Family relationships [M (SD)]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>M (SD)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear communication</td>
<td>63.62 (15.7)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>79.40 (20.5)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common activities</td>
<td>43.10 (15.9)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* χ² test. ** Gender differences: 1 Student test; education level: ANOVA; perceived family well-off: Kruskal–Wallis test.

### Tab. 2. Electronic media activities, health and family relationships by introducing and complying with the rules on electronic media use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mobile phone use rules</th>
<th>Yes, and they are followed (n = 184)</th>
<th>Yes, but they are not followed (n = 56)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Computer use rules</th>
<th>Yes, and they are followed (n = 278)</th>
<th>Yes, but they are not followed (n = 73)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keeping social contacts</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>56.9</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>65.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishing social contacts</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Listening music</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online gaming</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-player gaming</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watching films</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching news</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching information about hobbies</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Health [M (SD)]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>M (SD)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Self-rated health</td>
<td>83.42 (15.4)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of subjective health claims</td>
<td>83.09 (17.9)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life satisfaction</td>
<td>83.68 (17.1)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Family relationships [M (SD)]**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>M (SD)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clear communication</td>
<td>69.36 (13.3)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social support</td>
<td>85.81 (18.6)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common activities</td>
<td>51.07 (16.0)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* χ² test. ** Kruskal–Wallis test.
(χ² (2, n = 838) = 10.80; p = 0.005)); 87.4% of respondents own a device with Internet access, 97.1% have a mobile phone [girls slightly more often than boys: 98.6% vs. 95.8% (χ² (1, n = 826) = 5.74; p = 0.017)]. A total of 29.0% of families have rules for mobile phone use, but 6.7% (23.3% of families having rules) fail to comply with these rules (Fig. 1). There were significant age differences – the rules on the use of electronic media set by parents of primary school pupils were the most likely to be observed, whereas lower secondary school students were the least likely to follow the rules set by their parents (χ² (4, n = 828) = 58.52; p < 0.001). Similarly, 42.8% of families have rules on computer use, but 8.9% (20.8% of families having rules) of these families fail to comply with them. Parents of boys (χ² (2, n = 819) = 8.94; p = 0.011) and younger students (χ² (4, n = 821) = 40.85; p < 0.001) are more likely to introduce rules for computer use.

The most common electronic media activities among adolescents include listening to music (65.4%) and keeping social contacts (60.3%) (Tab. 1). Gender differences were found for almost all analysed activities (boys are more engaged than girls); age (education level) differences were statistically significant only for keeping social contacts, listening to music and searching for information about hobbies (all of these increase with age). Perceived family wealth is a predictor only for the frequency of establishing social contacts, online gaming (most frequent in well-off families) and watching films (not well-off families). Introducing rules for electronic media use is associated only with three of the eight analysed daily activities: keeping and establishing social contacts, and listening to music (Tab. 2).

### Health

Almost 80% of adolescents perceive their health as good or very good, more than 75% of young people are satisfied with their life, but 23% of them have at least one health complaint every week (Tab. 1). Boys reported fewer subjective health complaints and showed a more positive assessment of their health; primary school students had the best subjective health and life satisfaction; the same was the case with adolescents from well-off families. The lowest health indicators can be found in families who fail to follow their own rules, and the mean values of all used health scales are very similar in groups with no rules and with rules introduced, but not followed (Tab. 2).

### Family relationships

In all analysed family scales, the highest mean values were achieved by the youngest students (primary school) and by adolescents from well-off families (Tab. 1). There were no gender differences. The lowest indicators of family relationships were found in families who failed to comply with their own rules (except for shared activities, which occur least often in families who do not have any rules) (Tab. 2).

### Multivariable analyses

Six estimated multivariate logistic regression models for the assessment of the probability of adolescents’ perceived very good health, lack of subjective health complaints, high life satisfaction and good family relations (clear communication, high social support and many common activities) revealed that even after controlling for gender, level of education and perceived family wealth, introducing and following the rules for electronic media use remain significant predictors of adolescent health and social relations (Tab. 3). Statistically significant improvement in health, life satisfaction and family relations was observed in families introducing and following rules for mobile phone use (more than threefold).
In families who introduce rules for computer use, but fail to follow them, deteriorated health and life satisfaction were observed in comparison with families without any rules for media use (more than double).

**DISCUSSION**

We conducted a study in a sample of 844 students aged 10–18 years to investigate the correlations between introducing and following family rules for electronic media use and the frequency of use of media, health, life satisfaction and family relationships. The study showed that young people in families who introduced rules for the use of electronic media and who consistently followed these rules spent the least time using digital media. Adolescents in the group with family rules for computer use that were not followed dedicated the most amount of time to using computers for entertainment (keeping and establishing social contacts, and listening to music). No significant correlations were found, for example, in terms of information searching. These adolescents also faced the most serious health consequences.

Our study confirmed earlier reports showing that the introduction of time restrictions by parents reduces the time devoted by adolescents to electronic media (Lee, 2013; Rideout et al., 2010; Shin and Kang, 2016). However, the analyses showed that it was the time spent on entertainment rather than the time intended for education or searching for information that was reduced. This indicates that concerns about limiting the development opportunities associated with the introduction of restrictions (Duerager and Livingstone, 2012; Livingstone et al., 2015) are not entirely correct as regards cognitive development.

Our study drew attention to the negative health consequences incurred by children in families with no restrictions on the use of electronic media or only theoretical limitations, which are not followed (the worst situation). Lower indicators of self-rated health and higher frequency of subjective health complaints may be associated with spending more hours using computers or other electronic devices (Mathers et al., 2009; Russ et al., 2009; Strasburger et al., 2010). This may result in a worse family climate, which may explain the lower life satisfaction of teenagers from such families.

We found the lowest indicators of clear family communication and support in the families who failed to comply with their own rules (except for shared activities, which occur the least often in the families who do not have any rules). The introduction and consistent compliance with the rules for the use of electronic media is an element of good relationships in the family and is related to clear communication, support, and spending time with parents. It can be an element of the authoritative parenting style, characterised by warmth, involvement, support of autonomy as well as clear rules and expectations (Baumrind, 1971, 2012, 1991; Wille et al., 2008).

This study shows a new direction in the analysis and the need to consider not only the existence of rules on the use of electronic media, but also their consistent compliance. This implies methodological conclusions regarding the construction of precise questions regarding the rules on the use of electronic media. The analysis of the data showed findings in line with current research directions, considering the methods of communicating rules on the use of modern media to adolescents (Bjelland et al., 2015; Livingstone et al., 2015). Restrictive implementation of rules generates much poorer results than supportive involvement. This may be associated with the natural resistance of young people to imposed rules, resulting in a lack of compliance. The EU Kids Online qualitative research conducted in nine countries showed that although children are generally positive about parental interventions in regards to their use of the Internet, they consider it to be problematic when parental advice is poorly articulated, not justified, and not expressed in a sensitive manner (Haddon, 2015).

In the case of modern technologies (computer, Internet, mobile), the changes which took place between 1994 and 2012 can be considered a revolution. The value of each rate of owning technology has systematically increased year after year during the entire analysed period in all voivodships in Poland. It was important to deliver new technologies to rural, poorer and less advanced regions in our country. The highest rate of owning a computer in rural household in 1994 was reported in Zachodniopomorskie (7.4%), and, 18 years later, the rate was 71.5% in Wielkopolskie and 70.4% in Pomorskie. The number of houses with Internet access increased with the increasing computerisation level of rural households. In 2000, the highest rate of owning among rural households was noted in Opolskie – 3.3%, in 2012 it was in Wielkopolskie – 66.2% and Pomorskie – 66%. Between 2000 and 2012, the number of rural households equipped with a mobile phone changed drastically, with the highest level of owning in 2000 in Lubuskie (17.4%), and market saturation (about 100%) in 2012, in e.g. Wielkopolskie (95.3%). Systematic growth in the number of households equipped in various kinds of electronic media was not only due to the increasing wealth of occupants and decreasing exploitation costs, but also the increasing benefits of owning and using these devices on a daily basis (Smialowski et al., 2015). As a result of levelling the access to new technologies between rural and urban areas, it is possible to investigate global trends in Poland. In the first half of 2015, computers were present in 72% of households, including 71% with Internet access. There were differences in the level of market saturation in some types of families. Almost 95% of marriages with children own a computer and Internet access. There has also been a rapid growth in the use of tablets, which are present in every forth household, 3% of which do not own a computer. Between 2012 and 2014, a 15% increase in the number of tablets may be noted.
The popularity of laptops, which start to predominate over computers in households is noticeable (59%). The readiness of Polish people to use technology with the Internet is well illustrated by the dynamic growth of smartphone usage – from 2.8% of population in 2011 to 44.8% in 2015 (Czapiński and Panek, 2015). The fastest growth of the group of cybernauts is observed in Eastern Europe. In Poland, this group increased from 40% to 47% in 2005, and to 89% in 2008. Throughout Europe, the percentage of young Internet users is growing with their age and this group is larger in Poland than in other EU member states (Tabak, 2015).

The strengths of this study lie in the large sample size and the use of new items enabling analyses of consequent parenting in relation to media use. The limitations include the reliance on the reports of children rather than objective measures of media use and parenting practices, possibly resulting in underreporting of using electronic media and overreporting of avoiding rules introduced by parents. Adolescents were asked only about introducing and following the rules for the use of electronic media, without information about the content of these rules. These issues should be taken into account in future studies.

To conclude, the results of the present survey lead to a clear conclusion: introducing and following rules for the use of electronic media protects the health of adolescents and is an element of good family relations. Health education programmes should be introduced for parents to show them the benefits of the authoritative parenting style and to teach them how to act consistently in matters regarding modern technology to prevent the adverse health effects of excessive use of electronic media by young people.
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